## FURTHER DATA ON POLI'S GENERIC NAMES.

## By Dr. WILLIAM HEALEY DALL.

Read 8th January, 1909.

I was interested to read the article on Poli and his nomenclature by Mr. Jukes-Browne in the June issue of the Proceedings of the Society. With his general conclusions I am in full agreement. The question whether Poli used a binomial or Linnæan system of nomenclature can only be answered in the negative, and consequently his names, as such, have only an historical value. They remained available for any binomialist who might choose to validate them, and take date only from such validation. By this I do not mean that a mere reference to a name of Poli would suffice, unless it was made evident that the author had the intention of adopting it binomially.

Mr. Jukes-Browne cites seven of Poli's names which "have been used conchologically" by subsequent authors, by which I understand him to mean that they have been adopted in preference to other names by those authors. He is, however, in error in supposing that I desire to reject or have rejected any names properly proposed which had previously been used by Poli. If he had carried his investigations a little further he would have found that, on the contrary, I had accepted such names, or at least two of them. Let us examine these

names in the order Mr. Jukes-Browne has cited them.

(1) Peronæa was adopted by Mörch in 1853 (Yoldi Catalogue, pt. ii, p. 12), but Albers had used Peronæus for a land shell in 1850; Peronea, Curtis, and Peronia, Blainville, date from 1824, and Peronia, Desvoidy, from 1830. The two latter are of different derivation, but near enough to be confusing. The existence of Albers' name is sufficient, according to present usage, to make a new name necessary for the Peronæa, Mörch; for which accordingly I proposed Peronidia.

(2) Callista was used by Leach (published by Gray in 1852) for

(2) Callista was used by Leach (published by Gray in 1852) for a group of Veneride, distinct from that for which Poli had used it, and which had been named Clausina by Brown in 1827. Callista is therefore a synonym of Clausina. The different application of Callista

by Mörch in the Polian sense in 1853 was therefore futile.

(3) Arthemis was validated by Oken in 1815, but Dosinia, Scopoli,

antedated Arthemis by thirty-three years.

(4) Loripes was validated by Cuvier in 1817, and I have adopted it in my Synopsis of the Lucinacea, 1901, p. 803.

(5) Glossus was validated by Oken in 1815, but Isocardia, Lamarck,

antedates the validation by fifteen years.

(6) Argus had been validated by Bohadsch, 1761, and Scopoli, 1777,

before Poli took it up in 1795.

(7) Axinæa when proposed by Poli in 1791 was already named Glycymeris by Da Costa, in 1778, with the type of Arca glycymeris, Linné.

Of the names for the shells, as distinguished from the animals, Daphnoderma was proposed by Mörch for Arca Domingensis, Lamarck,

in 1853 (op. cit., p. 40). Poli's name was Daphnæoderma. This

group had already been called Acar by Gray in 1847.

Peronæoderma was proposed by Mörch in 1853 for Tellina polita, Poli, and other not congruous species. If adopted, it is probable this should supersede the later Eurytellina, Fischer, 1887.

Cerastoderma, Mörch, 1853, based on Cardium edule and allied species, was adopted by me in my Synopsis of the Cardiidæ, 1900.

Poli, considering the appliances available at his period, was a remarkable anatomist, and relied much more on his detailed engravings to indicate his discoveries than on his text. As the former preceptor of the reigning monarch, he occupied a position in his community analogous to that which Steenstrup held for many years in Copenhagen. Funds for his profusely detailed anatomical copper-plates were always at his disposal, and a careful study of them for the anatomy of Mediterranean molluses will often reveal facts not recorded in the manuals of the present day. The third part of his work was posthumously issued in 1826–7 by Della Chiaje.

The only disciple in his nomenclatural system I have noted is Duméril, in 1806, who modified it by adding arius to the generic name of the shell to denote the animal; *Pleurotomarius* being intended for the animal of *Pleurotoma*, etc. The superiority of the Linnæan system,

however, gave these methods no chance of survival.